.UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

N RE: . Chapter 11

PI LIQUIDATION CORP., . Case No. 11-10338 (RAG)

101 West Lombard Street

Debtor. Baltimore, MD 21201

Monday, March 19, 2012

11:30 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF EXCERPT OF HEARING
(ARGUMENT OF DAVID KISSI)
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT A. GORDON
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Liquidating Trustee Edward Gavin:

Cole, Schotz, Meisel, Forman &

Leonard, P.A.

By: IRVING EDWARD WALKER, ESQ.

300 East Lombard Street Baltimore, MD 21202-3171

Whiteford, Taylor & Preston BY: STEPHEN B. GERALD, ESQ. 7 St. Paul Street, Suite 1400

Baltimore, MD 21202

For the Liquidating Trustee:

MICHAEL R. SEIDL, ESQ.

919 North Market Street, 17th Floor

P.O. Box 8705

Wilmington, DE 19899-8705

(Continued)

ECRO: Anna Marie Komisarek

Transcription Company: Ad Hoc Transcription, LLC

241 Sussex Avenue

Newton, New Jersey 07860

(888) 516-5553

www.adhoctranscription.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

Case 1:12-cv-02071-JKB Document 1-24 Filed 07/11/12 Page 2 of 12

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

IN RE: . Chapter 11

FPI LIQUIDATION CORP., . Case No. 11-10338 (RAG)

101 West Lombard Street

Debtor. Baltimore, MD 21201

Monday, March 19, 2012

11:30 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF EXCERPT OF HEARING
(ARGUMENT OF DAVID KISSI)
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT A. GORDON
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Liquidating Trustee Edward Gavin:

Cole, Schotz, Meisel, Forman &

Leonard, P.A.

By: IRVING EDWARD WALKER, ESQ.

300 East Lombard Street Baltimore, MD 21202-3171

Whiteford, Taylor & Preston BY: STEPHEN B. GERALD, ESQ. 7 St. Paul Street, Suite 1400

Baltimore, MD 21202

For the Liquidating Trustee:

MICHAEL R. SEIDL, ESQ.

919 North Market Street, 17th Floor

P.O. Box 8705

Wilmington, DE 19899-8705

(Continued)

ECRO: Anna Marie Komisarek

Transcription Company: Ad Hoc Transcription, LLC

241 Sussex Avenue

Newton, New Jersey 07860

(888) 516-5553

www.adhoctranscription.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.



APPEARANCES:

For Cecilia Sutherland: Law Office of Neil R. Lebowitz, LLC

By: NEIL R. LEBOWITZ, ESQ. 10440 Little Patuxent Parkway

Suite 570

Columbia, MD 21044

For David Kissi:

David Kissi

325 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE

Washington, D.C. 20003



APPEARANCES:

For Cecilia Sutherland: Law Office of Neil R. Lebowitz, LLC

By: NEIL R. LEBOWITZ, ESQ. 10440 Little Patuxent Parkway

Suite 570

Columbia, MD 21044

For David Kissi: David Kissi

325 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE

Washington, D.C. 20003



BALTIMORE, MARYLAND, MONDAY, MARCH 19, 2012, 11:34 A.M.

1

2

3 |

7

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kissi, now you filed the thing back in 2006 and it was dismissed and your petition to reopen was denied and now you filed the proof of claim and it all seems to be based on this that's already been resolved in the Circuit Court, more than three years later I'll add.

MR. KISSI: Well, when I filed that claim, I had a 8 \parallel lawyer and the lawyer -- the lawyer bungled the case (sic). So 9 \parallel I didn't know what was happening, and also there's a critical error associated with this because the clerk of the Baltimore civil court was sending the notices to an address that didn't belong to my former lawyer, Francis.

And then I was away for a while which is part of my objection to have Mark Neal removed from this case. He had engineered with some people to -- I mean, to -- where I was thrown into jail and so for three years --

THE COURT: Mr. Neal is not the trustee of this case.

MR. KISSI: Oh, okay. So for three years I wasn't here but when I -- since I got released, I have filed a motion or reconsideration pursuant to Maryland Rule 2-535(b) (sic), and I have here the most recent -- the most recent motion for econsideration I filed was on February 21st of this year, and the Court hasn't ruled on that yet. The Court hasn't ruled on this motion.

THE COURT: You mean the Circuit Court, right?

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND, MONDAY, MARCH 19, 2012, 11:34 A.M.

1

2

3

5 |

61

7

8 |

9

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

201

23

25

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kissi, now you filed the thing back in 2006 and it was dismissed and your petition to reopen was denied and now you filed the proof of claim and it all seems to be based on this that's already been resolved in the Circuit Court, more than three years later I'll add.

MR. KISSI: Well, when I filed that claim, I had a awyer and the lawyer -- the lawyer bungled the case (sic). So didn't know what was happening, and also there's a critical error associated with this because the clerk of the Baltimore civil court was sending the notices to an address that didn't belong to my former lawyer, Francis.

And then I was away for a while which is part of my objection to have Mark Neal removed from this case. He had engineered with some people to -- I mean, to -- where I was 16 thrown into jail and so for three years --

THE COURT: Mr. Neal is not the trustee of this case.

MR. KISSI: Oh, okay. So for three years I wasn't Here but when I -- since I got released, I have filed a motion for reconsideration pursuant to Maryland Rule 2-535(b) (sic), 21 and I have here the most recent -- the most recent motion for reconsideration I filed was on February 21st of this year, and the Court hasn't ruled on that yet. The Court hasn't ruled on 24 this motion.

THE COURT: You mean the Circuit Court, right?



MR. KISSI: Yeah.

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23|

THE COURT: The State Court.

MR. KISSI: Yeah. The State Court hasn't ruled on it so the case is still pending. So it's not dismissed -- it's not dismissed as alleged by the other party.

I have a copy of that motion here. If I can turn this in as evidence -- I mean as an exhibit.

THE COURT: Show it to counsel. Any objection? right.

MR. WALKER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It will be admitted. Hand it to Mr. Livingston. That's Claimant Exhibit 1.

(Claimant Exhibit 1 admitted into evidence.)

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Kissi. Go ahead.

MR. KISSI: Yeah. So, technically speaking, this 16 claim is still pending. My claim against Frank Parsons is still pending in the Baltimore -- in the Baltimore --

THE COURT: Circuit Court.

MR. KISSI: -- City Circuit Court. So if Frank Parsons had the reorganization, I'll be glad to talk to them to resolve this matter mutually because I mean, I have a valid claim. This is not a frivolous claim. I have a valid claim, and my wife is my witness. For twenty years, we did business with Frank Parsons. For twenty years. And there was a pattern of fraud on their part whereby because we are small, we are



MR. KISSI: Yeah.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

THE COURT: The State Court.

MR. KISSI: Yeah. The State Court hasn't ruled on it so the case is still pending. So it's not dismissed -- it's not dismissed as alleged by the other party.

I have a copy of that motion here. If I can turn this in as evidence -- I mean as an exhibit.

THE COURT: Show it to counsel. Any objection? right.

MR. WALKER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It will be admitted. Hand it to Mr. Livingston. That's Claimant Exhibit 1.

(Claimant Exhibit 1 admitted into evidence.)

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Kissi. Go ahead.

MR. KISSI: Yeah. So, technically speaking, this claim is still pending. My claim against Frank Parsons is still pending in the Baltimore -- in the Baltimore --

THE COURT: Circuit Court.

MR. KISSI: -- City Circuit Court. So if Frank 20 Parsons had the reorganization, I'll be glad to talk to them to resolve this matter mutually because I mean, I have a valid This is not a frivolous claim. I have a valid claim, claim. and my wife is my witness. For twenty years, we did business 24 with Frank Parsons. For twenty years. And there was a pattern 25 \parallel of fraud on their part whereby because we are small, we are



1 minority, we are black, they treated us as second-class 2 citizens, and in one instance, among many we had in contact with them over a twenty-year period was a contract with D.C. government for \$1.5 million worth of paper, and we worked out with them a series of deliveries that could be done and Mike 6 Eging (phonetic), the president of Frank Parsons he said I will tell you the whole deal and since he knows that that's a minority business we don't have enough capital, we don't have

We defaulted on the D.C. contract. Then he went behind our back and got a contract. So that's why I sued Frank Parsons and I think -- on the jury trial I think I will prevail on this case. So we should let Baltimore resolve this case in the State Court, hold up these people on their reorganization plan or we would like to talk to them mutually because we are very reasonable people.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's all in the complaint, right?

MR. KISSI: Yes, Your Honor.

the logistics, the trucks and all that.

10

12

17

18

19

20

22

24

THE COURT: What you just told me, that's set out in 21 the complaint.

MR. KISSI: Yeah. It's in the complaint and I gave a 23 copy to Mr. --

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is there anything else 25∥ you want to tell me?

1 minority, we are black, they treated us as second-class 2 citizens, and in one instance, among many we had in contact $3\parallel$ with them over a twenty-year period was a contract with D.C. 4 government for \$1.5 million worth of paper, and we worked out $5\parallel$ with them a series of deliveries that could be done and Mike 6 Eging (phonetic), the president of Frank Parsons he said I will tell you the whole deal and since he knows that that's a 8 minority business we don't have enough capital, we don't have the logistics, the trucks and all that.

We defaulted on the D.C. contract. Then he went 11 behind our back and got a contract. So that's why I sued Frank 12 Parsons and I think -- on the jury trial I think I will prevail 13 on this case. So we should let Baltimore resolve this case in 14 the State Court, hold up these people on their reorganization 15 plan or we would like to talk to them mutually because we are 16 very reasonable people.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's all in the complaint, 18 right?

MR. KISSI: Yes, Your Honor.

10

17

19

20

22

24

THE COURT: What you just told me, that's set out in 21 the complaint.

MR. KISSI: Yeah. It's in the complaint and I gave a 23 copy to Mr. --

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is there anything else 25 you want to tell me?

6

MR. KISSI: No, that's it. Thank you. (Excerpt concluded at 11:34 a.m.)

* * * * *

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

15 Kothleen M. Price DATE: May 4, 2012

16 Kathleen Price, AAERT Cert. No. 325

17 Certified Court Transcriptionist

18 AD HOC TRANSCRIPTION, LLC

19

12

13

14

20

21

22 23

24

25

6

MR. KISSI: No, that's it. Thank you.

(Excerpt concluded at 11:34 a.m.)

* * * * *

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

14 Kathleen M. Price

DATE: May 4, 2012

16 Kathleen Price, AAERT Cert. No. 325

17 Certified Court Transcriptionist

18 AD HOC TRANSCRIPTION, LLC

19

11

12

13

2

20

21

22

23

24

25